

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,698	09/12/2003	Julia Sarah Faircloth	PILOOI	7371
75	7590 06/02/2006		EXAMINER	
JULIA FAIRCLOTH			CONLEY, FREDRICK C	
11025 NE HURLEY LANE NEWBURG, OR 97132			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,698	FAIRCLOTH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FREDRICK C. CONLEY	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 / 2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-36 is/are pending in the application and 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdration of Claim(s) 35 is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 35 is/are allowed.	is action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro  Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  pplication.  awn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) 12,13,16,17,19,20,22,32 and 33 is/a 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	are objected to.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal C  6) Other:		

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-11, 14-15, 18, 23-25, 28-31, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,175,230 to Herdal. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,091,481 to Redman.

Claims 1, 14, 23-25, 30, 34, and 36, Herdal discloses a pillow assembly, comprising:

a cushioning member 10, said cushioning member including a cushioning medium 11, a front surface 13 and a back surface, said front surface and said back surface being connected along an outer perimeter of said cushioning member, said cushioning medium being between said front surface and said back surface;

a first cavity 12, said first cavity recessed from said front surface into said cushioning member, said first cavity having a first cavity opening of a predetermined dimension, a first cavity sidewall 13 perimeter surface, and a first cavity floor surface 15 of a similar predetermined dimension, said first cavity sidewall perimeter surface connected to said first cavity floor surface,

and said first cavity sidewall perimeter surface encircled by said cushioning medium (fig. 1); at least one item removeably situated in said first cavity (col. 1 lines 20-22);

and, wherein said first cavity sidewall perimeter surface billows inward toward said first cavity (fig. 2) and capable of snuggly hold said at least one item; wherein said first cavity opening generally maintains said predetermined dimension in said open position and in said closed position, and said first cavity floor surface generally maintains said similar predetermined dimension in said open position and in said closed position;

wherein said first cavity sidewall perimeter surface billows in between said first cavity opening and said first cavity floor surface, said first cavity sidewall perimeter surface at least partially obscuring said first cavity floor surface when said at least one item is removeably situated in said first cavity and when said first cavity is empty, and a first door col. (1-2 lines 69-72 & 1-4). Herdal also fails to disclose indicia on the cushioning member, first door, or first item and said cushioning member having an outline that is shaped to further visually indicate said illustrated theme. It is well known and considered an obvious modification to employ indicia on a surface, such as a team logo or alter the shape, however, Redman discloses a pillow having a door with indicia, an item 26, and an outer perimeter that represents a illustrative them (fig. 1). It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ indicia on the pillow, first door, or first item and alter the outer perimeter as taught by Redman in order to personalize and provide a novelty pillow (col. 1 lines 5-8). With regards to the Applicant's recitation "for playing peek-a-boo hide and seek" the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed

Art Unit: 3673

invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claims 5 and 28, wherein said first cavity opening has a perimeter and where said first door (26,27) has a larger perimeter than said first cavity opening perimeter so as to overlay a portion of said front surface (fig. 2). With regards to the cavity and first door having a circumference, Herdal discloses that the pillow is capable of being configure to have a round shape (col. 2 lines 21-25).

Claim 6, wherein said outer perimeter of said cushioning member has a generally rectangular outline (fig. 1), said outline encircling a cushioning member 11 frontal area, said first cavity opening encircling an opening area (fig. 2). Herdal fails to discloses the opening area is at least 18% of the size of said cushioning member frontal area. A change in size is considered an obvious modification and it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the dimensions as stated above in order to hold the item within the cavity of Herdal.

Claim 7, wherein said cushioning member includes a shell, said shell housing said cushioning medium, and said shell and cushioning member comprising a quilted fabric material (col. 1 lines 47-67).

Claims 8 and 29, Herdal discloses an embodiment wherein said first cavity floor surface 15 is attached via stitching 17 proximate to said back surface and capable of preventing said first cavity sidewall perimeter surface and said first cavity floor surface from turning inside out.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 9, Herdal, as modified, discloses the first door connected to the front surface, said first door opening by bending and said first door inherently conforms to said front surface in said closed position, said front surface 13 being convexly contoured toward the cavity via the cushioning member 11 and a securing means (35,36).

Claims 10 and 18, Herdal, as modified, discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for a second door connected to the cushioning member. Redman discloses a pillow having a door 20 defining a cavity. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a door as taught by Redman in order to hold baby teeth and coins.

Claim 11, wherein said first door and said second door inherently conceal said first cavity when said first door and second door are in the closed position.

Claims 15 and 31, wherein said cushioning member 11 includes an outer perimeter surface, said outer perimeter surface connected to an outer perimeter of said front surface 13 and connected to said back surface, said outer perimeter surface providing depth to said cushioning member (fig. 2).

Claims 2 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,175,230 to Herdal. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,091,481 to Redman as applied to claims 1, 5-8, 10, 15, 23-25, 28-29, 31, and 34 as stated above and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,788,468 to Saarela et al.

Claims 2 and 26, Herdal, as modified, discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for a stuffed animal. Saarela discloses a pillow having a pocket 15 receiving a stuffed animal 18. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a stuffed animal as taught by Saarela in order to provide a source of physical comfort and visual attractiveness (col. 1 lines 4-9).

Claims 4 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,175,230 to Herdal. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,091,481 to Redman as applied to claims 1, 5-8, 10, 15, 23-25, 28-29, 31, and 34 as stated above and further in view of U.S. Pat. No.3,378,948 to Gervaise.

Claims 4 and 27, Herdal, as modified, discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for a sound device that emits a sound that indicates an illustrative theme. Gervaise discloses a pillow having a decorative theme 48 illustrating bells and a sound device/bell 38 that produces a sound that further indicates the illustrative theme. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a bell and illustrative them as taught by Gervaise in order to provide plaything for children.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 3,175,230 to Herdal. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,091,481 to Redman as applied to claims 1, 5-8, 10, 15, 23-25, 28-29, 31, and 34 as stated above and further in view of further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,105,188 to Perez-Mesa et al.

Claim 21, Herdal discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for a blanket and a container sized to hold the pillow and blanket. Perez-Mesa discloses a blanket 14 and a container 26 capable of holding a pillow 40 and blanket. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a container and blanket as taught by Perez-Mesa in order to provide portable bedding.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 35 is allowed.

Claims 12-13, 16-17, 19-20, 22, and 32-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-11, 14-15, 21, 23-27, 28-31, 34, and 36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,698

**Art Unit: 3673** 

#### Conclusion

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FREDRICK C. CONLEY whose telephone number is 571-272-7040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICIA L. ENGLE can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FO

Suzanne Dino Barrett
Primary Examiner